

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILIN | NG DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|------------------------|----------|------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/957,472 | 09/ | 21/2001 | Tetsuya Hanamoto | 204552021500 | 4058 | | |
| 25227 | 7590 | 07/12/2006 | | EXA | EXAMINER | | |
| MORRISON 1650 TYSON | | JACKSON | JACKSON JR, JEROME | | | | |
| SUITE 300 | 15 DOOLL | VARD | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| MCLEAN, V | /A 22102 | | | 2815 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - REPLY FILED 27 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

| | Jerome Jackson Jr. | 2815 | |
|---|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 27 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | | in the final rejection, wh | ichever is later. In |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>27 June 2006</u> . A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3 | 7(e)), to avoid dismis: | sal of the |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1: | • • • | moliant Amendment | (PTOL-324) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | impliant Amendment | (I TOL-324). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 157-222. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | It before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is | t be entered a necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fai | Is to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | it does NO⊤ place the application i | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new limitation "only..." would require further consideration or search.

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER